

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/531,851	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PENDERGAST ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> L. E. Crane	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

**All Participants:**

(1) L. E. Crane.

(2) Viola T. Kung.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 November 2007
**Time:** 2:00 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

None

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant was advised that the request for declaration of an interference was found to be inappropriate because the most relevant claims of the '188 patent and the instant application as amended did not pass the necessary two-way test, and therefore that the claims as amended had been found allowable.